

**ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
AHERA THREE YEAR REINSPECTION
ASBESTOS PROGRAM
SCHOOL INFORMATION FORM**

THREE-YEAR REINSPECTION

Unit:
Building ID:

IDPH ID Number:

REPORT DATE:

Prepared for:
Chicago Public Schools
42 W. Madison Street
Chicago, IL 60602

Prepared by:
TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive

Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone 630-790-0880

Fax 630-790-0882

**DO NOT REMOVE FROM SCHOOL
REQUIRED BY FEDERAL LAW**

Mr. Eric Culbertson
Asbestos Program
Illinois Department of Public Health
525 West Jefferson Street
Springfield, Illinois 62761

Re: Chicago Public Schools - Three-Year Reinspections

Dear Mr.Culbertson:

TEM Environmental, Inc. , Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC), conducted the Three-Year Reinspections and performed management plan updates for the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) facilities in Region 5, 6 Elementary Schools. Please update your records with the following information.

School District: 299 Unit: Region: 05 IDPH ID:

School: _____ **Building ID:** _____

Address:

Building Contact: Montelione, Anthony Contact Phone: 3128341607

Current Building Owners: Chicago Public Schools

Reinspection Date:

Review Date:

Inspector: _____ Inspector IDPH License: _____
Management Planner: _____ Management Planner IDPH License: _____

If you have any questions or comments, please contact us at 630-790-0880

Sincerely,
TEM Environmental, Inc.

Janine Jennings

Environmental Notification to Occupants

To: Faculty, Staff and Parents
From: Chicago Public Schools
Date:

RE: , Unit

Dear Faculty, Staff and Parents,

This letter is to notify you that the asbestos three year re-inspection has been completed at , following the Federal Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA), 40 CFR Part 763, Subpart E and is available for your review at the main office of the school.

Although asbestos-containing building materials have been identified at , there is no reason to believe that any threat to the health of students or staff exists at this time. CPS will continue to carefully monitor the condition of asbestos-containing building materials and if conditions warrant, all appropriate steps will be taken to maintain the health and safety of all building occupants.

If you have any questions regarding this matter or require additional information, please feel free to contact , the designated Local Education Authority's Designated Person at .

Table of Contents

- I. School and Inspection Information
- II. Executive Summary
- III. Methodology
- IV. Abatement History
- V. Reassessments and Recommendations
- VI. Conclusions

Table I: Inspector's Reinspection Findings

Table II: Management Planner's Review

APPENDICES:

- Appendix A: Assessment Sheets, Drawings and Photos
- Appendix B: Inspector and Management Planner Licenses
- Appendix C: Laboratory Accreditations
- Appendix D: Laboratory Results
- Appendix E: Chain of Custody Forms

SCHOOL AND INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. School Information

School: Unit: Region: 05
Address:
IDPH ID: Building ID:
Contact: Montelione, Anthony Phone: 3128341607

2. Description of Facility

Original Construction: 1914 Additional Construction: 1903,1922
Total Square Footage: 55927 No of Floors: 3
Current Occupancy:

3. LEA Designated Person

Contact: **Address:** 42 West Madison Street
Phone: Chicago, IL 60602

4. Managing Environmental Consultant

MEC: TEM Environmental, Inc.
Contact: Steven Geneser
Address 174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139
Phone: 630-790-0880 Fax: 630-790-0882

5. Inspector

Inspector Name:

Inspector IDPH license #
Reinspection Date:

Signature:
Date:

6. Management Planner

Management Planner Name:

Signature:
Date:

7. Review Date:

8. LEA Designated Person's Acknowledgement

The reinspection report and recommendations have been received by me and appropriate action will be taken by the School District.

Signature: Richard J. Schlegel

Name: _____

Data

Unit :

Building:

II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

was retained by the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) to perform a three-year asbestos reinspection of the . This inspection was conducted in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) part 763.85 (b), and the ongoing Operations and Maintenance Program (O&M) originally designed in the School's Asbestos Management Plan. The purpose of this three-year reinspection is to record any condition changes in the asbestos-containing building material (ACBM) in the school since the previous three-year reinspection and the six-month periodic surveillance, to identify, assess, and include any Homogeneous Areas (HA) not identified in the Management Plan, and to recommend an appropriate response action to manage asbestos.

The inspector conducted a three-year reinspection of this facility under Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) school reinspection requirements and AHERA, sections 763.85 and 763.88. The main building and each addition to the main building, if constructed at different dates, were inspected separately. Laboratory accreditations are included in Appendix C, laboratory results are included in Appendix D, and chain of custody forms are included in Appendix E.

Note: During previous inspections, some of the HA(s) were identified together as 9" x 9" floor tile (FT), mastics assoc. with 9" x 9" FT, 12" x 12" FT, mastics assoc. with 12" x 12" FT, pipe insulation, etc. Some of these HA(s) have been re-identified by areas that are uniform in color, texture, construction date, application date, and general appearance.

The inspector has determined the following:

A. The following HAs have changed assessment categories for Building :

B. The following new homogenous areas have been identified for Building :

C. This reinspection covered only physically accessible and visible areas and materials that were identified in the LEA's management plan. The following materials were concealed and/or contained in areas that were inaccessible for sampling and have been classified as Assumed:

The following areas were deemed to be inaccessible:

Materials were also listed as "assumed" if they were in good condition and sampling was not conducted to avoid damage.

This reinspection was conducted by , IDPH License # . The Management Plan was updated by , IDPH License # . Inspector and Management Planner Licenses are included in Appendix B.

II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

was retained by the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) to perform a three-year asbestos reinspection of the . This inspection was conducted in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) part 763.85 (b), and the ongoing Operations and Maintenance Program (O&M) originally designed in the School's Asbestos Management Plan. The purpose of this three-year reinspection is to record any condition changes in the asbestos-containing building material (ACBM) in the school since the previous three-year reinspection and the six-month periodic surveillance, to identify, assess, and include any Homogeneous Areas (HA) not identified in the Management Plan, and to recommend an appropriate response action to manage asbestos.

The inspector conducted a three-year reinspection of this facility under Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) school reinspection requirements and AHERA, sections 763.85 and 763.88. The main building and each addition to the main building, if constructed at different dates, were inspected separately. Laboratory accreditations are included in Appendix C, laboratory results are included in Appendix D, and chain of custody forms are included in Appendix E.

Note: During previous inspections, some of the HA(s) were identified together as 9" x 9" floor tile (FT), mastics assoc. with 9" x 9" FT, 12" x 12" FT, mastics assoc. with 12" x 12" FT, pipe insulation, etc. Some of these HA(s) have been re-identified by areas that are uniform in color, texture, construction date, application date, and general appearance.

The inspector has determined the following:

A. The following HAs have changed assessment categories for Building :

B. The following new homogenous areas have been identified for Building :

C. This reinspection covered only physically accessible and visible areas and materials that were identified in the LEA's management plan. The following materials were concealed and/or contained in areas that were inaccessible for sampling and have been classified as Assumed:

The following areas were deemed to be inaccessible:

Materials were also listed as "assumed" if they were in good condition and sampling was not conducted to avoid damage.

This reinspection was conducted by , IDPH License # . The Management Plan was updated by , IDPH License # . Inspector and Management Planner Licenses are included in Appendix B.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

Table I
Inspector's Reinspection Findings

Chicago Public Schools

School Bouchet Academy **Unit** 22371 **Building ID** 2430
Address 7355 S. Jeffrey Blvd. **Region** 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Inspector's Reinspection Findings Table 1

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880 Fax: 630-790-0882

Inspector's Comments are Summarized at the End of the Report

Chicago Public Schools

School Bouchet Academy **Unit** 22371 **Building ID** 2430
Address 7355 S. Jeffrey Blvd. **Region** 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Inspector's Reinspection Findings Table 1

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive Glendale Heights, IL, 60139
Phone: 630-790-0880 Fax: 630-790-0882

Inspector's Comments are Summarized at the End of the Report

Reinspection Date 5/29/2025
Inspector Name Josh Herman
100-210405/15/2026
Inspector's IDPH License Number / Expiration Date

Inspector's Comments

HA Number:	Inspector Comments:
NEW	

Table II
Management Planner's Review

Chicago Public Schools

School Bouchet Academy

Unit 22371

Building ID 2430

Address 7355 S. Jeffrey Blvd.

Chicago, IL, 60649

Region 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880 Fax: 630-790-0882

Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
	9"x9" Red Floor Tile ABATED			2nd & 3rd Floor Corridors	Abated	MISC					
	12"x12" Brown w/White Streaks Floor Tile	15,000	SF	1st, 2nd, 3rd & Basement Corridors North Girls' Restroom 1, 2, 3, Basement, Teachers' Restroom 1, 2, 3	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Tan w/Brown Specks Floor Tile	20,700	SF	Rooms 001, 002, 004, 005, 100-102, 104-106, 108-110, 200-203, 206-210, 302, 311, 331, Dining Room & Pass Office	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Mottled Beige Floor Tile	1,800	SF	Rooms 101 & 205	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Off White w/Tan Floor Tile	7,400	SF	Rooms 001, 100, 105, 200, 204, 300, 301 & Corridor	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Tan w/White & Brown Floor Tile ABATED			Room 104	Abated	MISC					
	12"x12" Green Mottled Floor Tile	250	SF	Engineer's Office	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Purple 12"x12" Carpet Mastic NOT OBSERVED			Room 108	Assumed	MISC					
	Linoleum ABATED			Room 200	Abated	MISC					
	Green Linoleum	2,300	SF	Gym	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Vinyl Mopboard	500	LF	Restrooms throughout, 2nd Floor Corridor, Room 109	Assumed	MISC	No	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Vinyl Mopboard Mastic	500	LF	Restrooms Throughout	Assumed	MISC	No	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Fire Doors	6	EA	Gym	Assumed	MISC	No	0	EA	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Incinerator Door NOT OBSERVED			Boiler Room	Assumed	MISC					
	Aircell Pipe Insulation NOT OBSERVED			Unexcavated Areas, N & S Heat Plenum, Pump Room	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes				
	12"x12" Brown w/White Streaks Mastic	12,000	SF	1st, 2nd, 3rd & Basement Corridors, North Girls' Restroom 1, 2, 3, Basement, Teachers' Restroom 1, 2, 3	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Tan w/Brown Specks Floor Tile Mastic	20,700	SF	Rooms 001, 002, 004, 005, 100-102, 104-106, 108-110, 200-203, 206-210, 302, 311, 331, Dining Room & Pass Office	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Mottled Beige Floor Tile Mastic	1,800	SF	Rooms 101 & 205	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Off-White w/Tan Floor Tile Mastic	6,900	SF	Rooms 001, 100, 105, 200, 204, 300, 301 & Corridor	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Tan w/White & Brown Mastic ABATED			Room 104	Abated	MISC					

Chicago Public Schools

School Bouchet Academy

Unit 22371

Building ID 2430

Address 7355 S. Jeffrey Blvd.

Chicago, IL, 60649

Region 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880 Fax: 630-790-0882

Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
	12"x12" Green Mottled Floor Tile Mastic	250	SF	Engineer's Office	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Linoleum Mastic ABATED			Room 200	Abated	MISC					
	12"x12" Cream w/Beige & Grey Floor Tile NOT OBSERVED	900	SF	Room 200	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Brown Vinyl Floor Tile NOT OBSERVED	2,000	SF	2nd & 3rd Floor Corridors	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Brown Floor Tile Mastic NOT OBSERVED	2,000	SF	2nd & 3rd Floor Corridors	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Gray Speckled Vinyl Floor Tile NOT OBSERVED	600	SF	Room 108	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Gray Speckled Floor Tile Mastic NOT OBSERVED	600	SF	Room 108	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" White w/Gray Speckles ABATED			Rooms 001, 002, 004 & 005	Abated	MISC					
	12"x12" White w/ Gray Speckles Mastic ABATED			Rooms 001, 002, 004 & 005	Abated	MISC					
	Hard Plaster	50,000	SF	Throughout Building	Assumed	SURFACE	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12x12 White, Grey & Blue Mix Floor Tile	3,000	SF	CPC- Play Area & Copy Room	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12x12 White, Grey & Blue Mix Floor Tile Mastic	3,000	SF	CPC- Play Area & Copy Room	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12x12 Beige Blue & Yellow Mix Floor Tile	2,000	SF	CPC- Room 136 & Storage	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12x12 Beige Blue & Yellow Mix Floor Tile Mastic	2,000	SF	CPC- Room 136 & Storage	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	5 ACBM with potential for significant damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12x12 White Green & Blue Mix Floor Tile	2,000	SF	CPC- Room 135 & Storage	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12x12 White Green & Blue Mix Floor Tile Mastic	2,000	SF	CPC- Room 135 & Storage	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12x12 Grey & White w/Black Specks Floor Tile	4,000	SF	CPC- Rooms 133/134 & Storage	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12x12 Grey & White w/Black Specks Floor Tile Mastic	4,000	SF	CPC- Rooms 133/134 & Storage	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Tan Baseboards	1,200	LF	CPC- Throughout Building	Assumed	MISC	No	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Tan Baseboard Adhesive	1,200	SF	CPC- Throughout Building	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Grey Tile Grout	300	SF	CPC- Bathrooms	Assumed	SURFACE	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Rubber Flooring Adhesive	3,500	SF	Gym	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Chalkboards Throughout	30	EA	In All Classrooms	Assumed	MISC	No	0	EA	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Window Glazing	2,100	LF	Throughout Building	Assumed	MISC	No	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Red & Gold Stage Curtains	2,000	SF	Stage & Windows in Auditorium	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Aircell Pipe Insulation	150	LF	Kitchen, Basement Corridor, Room 100, 200	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Magblock ABATED			Boiler Room	Abated	TSI					
	Pipe Fitting Insulation		EA	Kitchen	Assumed	TSI	Yes	0	EA	7 Any remaining friable ACBM or friable	Follow O&M Plan

Chicago Public Schools

School Bouchet Academy

Unit 22371

Building ID 2430

Address 7355 S. Jeffrey Blvd.

Chicago, IL, 60649

Region 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880 Fax: 630-790-0882

Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
	Preform ABATED			Boiler Room	Abated	TSI				suspect ACBM	
	Boiler Gaskets ABATED			Boiler Rom	Abated	MISC					
	Newly Installed Suspect ACM			Installed After Implementation of Management Plan or After Renovations	Assumed	MISC		0		6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan

Chicago Public Schools

School Bouchet Academy

Unit 22371

Building ID 2430

Address 7355 S. Jeffrey Blvd.

Chicago, IL, 60649

Region 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880 Fax: 630-790-0882

Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

Review Date	06/16/2025
Manager Planner Name	James Tuinenga
100-00349	5/15/2026
Manager IDPH License No/Expiration	

HA Number	Management Comments
-----------	---------------------

APPENDIX A

Assessment Sheets, Drawings and Photos

Chicago Public Schools

TEM Environmental, Inc.
2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

Inspector Assessment Form (REASSESSMENT)

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUIDLING ID:
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** AHERA INSPECTOR:
SCHOOL NAME: INSPECTION DATE:
ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

INFORMATION FROM PREVIOUS INSPECTION

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

HISTORICAL AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY **ACBM with the potential for damage**

HISTORICAL DAMAGE REASON:

HISTORICAL RESPONSE ACTION: **Follow O&M Plan**

ASBESTOS TYPE: FRIABLE:

RESULTS OF REINSPECTION AND REASSESSMENT

This homogeneous area was reinspected and reassessed in accordance with Section 763.85 and 763.88 of AHERA and it's condition HAS NOT CHANGED when compared to the conditions of the last AHERA reinspection.

The current AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY is determined to be . **ACBM with the potential for damage**

DAMAGE REASON:

DISTURBANCE POTENTIAL:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY: MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY: DAMAGE UNITS:

COMMENTS:

Inspector's Signature:



Date: **05/29/2025**

Chicago Public Schools

TEM Environmental, Inc.
2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

Management Planner Review Form

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUIDLING ID:

CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** MANAGEMENT PLANNER:

SCHOOL NAME: REVIEW DATE:

ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY: MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY: DAMAGE UNITS:

In accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) the LEA must select a management planner to review the results of the inspection and assessment and recommend appropriate response actions. The original inspection of the above identified homogeneous area has been reviewed in accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 with the following recommendations.

The RESPONSE ACTION recommendation is:

Follow O&M Plan

Comments:

Management Planner's Signature: 

Date: 06/16/2025

Chicago Public Schools

TEM Environmental, Inc.
2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

Inspector Assessment Form (New Homogeneous Area)

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUIDLING ID:
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** AHERA INSPECTOR:
SCHOOL NAME: INSPECTION DATE:
ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

INFORMATION FROM CURRENT INSPECTION

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY:

MATERIAL UNITS:

MATERIAL CATEGORY:

FRIABLE:

ASBESTOS TYPE:

CONDITION:

No Damage

AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY: **ACBM with the potential for damage**

ACCESSIBILITY:

Within Reach

DAMAGE REASON:

DAMAGE REASON:

DAMAGE REASON:

DAMAGE UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY:

COMMENTS:

Inspector's Signature:



Date: **05/29/2025**

Chicago Public Schools

TEM Environmental, Inc. 2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

Management Planner Review Form

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUIDLING ID:

CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** MANAGEMENT PLANNER:

SCHOOL NAME: REVIEW DATE:

ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY: MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY: DAMAGE UNITS:

In accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) the LEA must select a management planner to review the results of the inspection and assessment and recommend appropriate response actions. The original inspection of the above identified homogeneous area has been reviewed in accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 with the following recommendations.

The RESPONSE ACTION recommendation is:

Follow O&M Plan

COMMENTS:

Management Planner's Signature: 

Date: **06/16/2025**

APPENDIX B

Inspector and Management Planner Licenses

APPENDIX C

Laboratory Accreditations

APPENDIX D

Laboratory Results

APPENDIX E

Chain of Custody Forms

Three-Year Reinspection Key to Terms

	CODE	KEY
MATERIAL	ACBM	Asbestos Containing Building Materials
MATERIAL CATEGORY	MISC	Miscellaneous
	SURF	Surfacing
	TSI	Thermal System Insulation
MATERIAL UNITS	LF	Linear Feet
	SF	Square Feet
	CF	Cubic Feet
DAMAGE TYPE	Loc	Localized
	Dist	Distributed